



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,424	08/01/2008	Sheshakamal H. Jayaram	26656-1	8640
76656	7590	12/14/2011		
Patent Docket Department Armstrong Teasdale LLP 7700 Forsyth Boulevard Suite 1800 St. Louis, MO 63105			EXAMINER	
			PHASGE, ARUN S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1724	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/14/2011 ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USpatents@armstrongteasdale.com

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/599,424	JAYARAM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ARUN S. PHASGE	1724	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) ARUN S. PHASGE (3) Jonathan Pollack
 (2) Adam Wuller (4) _____

Date of Interview: 08 December 2011

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Issues Discussed ☐ 101 ☐ 112 ☒ 102 ☒ 103 ☐ Others
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: all

Identification of prior art discussed: Qin

Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Argued that the multiple treatment zones forming the single zone of Qin is different from the single treatment zone presently claimed. The claims further having comprising language would be encompassed by the disclosed device of Qin.

Applicant recodation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recodation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/ARUN S PHASGE/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1724